arXiv:1609.06893v1 [math.GR] 22 Sep 2016

Centralizers of irreducible subgroups in the projective special linear group

Clément Guérin*

September 23, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we classify conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ using alternate modules a.k.a. finite abelian groups with an alternate bilinear form. When n is squarefree, we prove that these conjugacy classes are classified by their isomorphism classes. More generally, we define a finite graph related to this classification whose combinatorial properties are expected to help us describe the stratification of the singular (orbifold) locus in some character varieties.

Contents

1 Definitions and results **1**

2 Properties of centralizers of irreducible in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ **4**
2.1 Abelianity, exponent and order 5
2.2 The alternate module associated to a n-centralizer 9

3 Classification of centralizers in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ **14**
3.1 n-subsymplectic modules and associated centralizers 14
3.2 Consequences of the classification 20

4 Centralizers in quotients of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ **27**
4.1 Abelianity, exponent and order 27
4.2 Singularities in the associated character variety 30

1 Definitions and results

A bad subgroup of a complex reductive Lie group G is an irreducible subgroup H of G (see the definition below) whose centralizer strictly contains the center of G. Sikora in [Sik12] and Florentino-Lawton in [FL12] exhibited complex reductive Lie groups G

*University of Luxembourg Campus Kirchberg, Mathematics Research Unit, BLG. 6, rue Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, L-1359 Luxembourg, e-mail : clement.guerin@uni.lu

containing bad subgroups. Remark that applying Schur's lemma, there are no bad subgroups in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ nor in $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$. A first case (when G is $PSL(p,\mathbb{C})$ and p is a prime number) has been extensively studied in [Gue16-1] from which we highlight :

Theorem 1 in [Gue16-1]. *If $\overline{H}$ is a bad subgroup of $PSL(p,\mathbb{C})$ then its centralizer $Z_{PSL(p,\mathbb{C})}(\overline{H})$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p$ or $\mathbb{Z}/p\times\mathbb{Z}/p$, in the later case the irreducible subgroup is ts own centralizer. Furthermore if two centralizers of irreducible subgroups of $PSL(p,\mathbb{C})$ are isomorphic then they are conjugate.*

This result leads to a decomposition of the singular locus of the character variety (see loc. cit. for a definition and also paragraph 7 of [FLR15]) for Fuchsian groups into $PSL(p,\mathbb{C})$. In this paper, we generalize the classification of centralizers of irreducible subgroups of $PSL(p,\mathbb{C})$ to $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$. We recall some definitions for this paper.

A subgroup P of a reductive group G is said to be *parabolic* if G/P is a complete variety. When G is $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ a subgroup is parabolic if and only if it is the stabilizer of a non-trivial flag in $\mathbb{C}^n$ where $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ acts canonically on $\mathbb{C}^n$ (c.f. [Bor91]).

A subgroup H of a reductive group G is said to be *irreducible* if no parabolic subgroup of G contains H. A subgroup H of a reductive group G is said to be *completely reducible* if for each parabolic subgroup P of G containing H, we can find a Levi subgroup L of P such that $H\subseteq L$. A representation $\rho:\Gamma\to G$ is said to be *irreducible* (resp. *completely reducible*) if $\rho(\Gamma)$ is irreducible (resp. completely reducible).

The *centralizer* $Z_G(H)$ of a subgroup H of G is the set of elements $g\in G$ commuting with any element of H. The *centralizer* $Z_G(\rho)$ of a representation $\rho:\Gamma\to G$ is the centralizer of $\rho(\Gamma)$.

Sikora gave a useful characterization of an irreducible group (corollary 17 in [Sik12]). A group H in a reductive group G is irreducible if and only if it is completely reducible and $[Z_G(H):Z(G)]$ is finite.

Furthermore any finite group is a completely reducible subgroup and finite extensions of completely reducible subgroups are completely reducible subgroups.

The *commutator* $[g,h]$ of g and h in a group G will classically be defined as $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$. We recall a simple lemma from a previous paper :

Lemma 1. *Let $n\geq 1$, A,B be two matrices in $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}^*$ such that their commutator verifies $[A,B]=\lambda I_n$, then for all $\mu\in\mathbb{C}^*$, $A(E_\mu(B))=E_{\lambda^{-1}\mu}(B)$ and $B(E_\mu(A))=E_{\lambda\mu}(A)$. In particular, B acts on $Sp(A)$ by multiplying by λ and A acts on $Sp(B)$ by multiplying by λ^{-1}.*

For $n\geq 1$, the center of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ is cyclic of order n. For d dividing n, denote $\pi_d(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ the quotient of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ by the unique central subgroup of order d. This gives all the quotients of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, in particular $\pi_n(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))=PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$.

The first result of this paper (see proposition 1 and corollary 1) in subsection 2.1 :

Result 1. *Let $n\geq 1$ and $\overline{H}$ be an irreducible subgroup of $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$, then $Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(\overline{H})$ is abelian, of exponent dividing n and of order dividing n^2.*

In subsections 2.2 and 3.1, we classify conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ using alternate modules which are, by definition, abelian finite groups endowed with an alternate bilinear form, see [TW15] or [Wal64]. We show, in proposition 4, that we can associate to any centralizer of an irreducible subgroup in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$, a unique isometry class of alternate modules. According to this association, we get theorem 1 :

Result 2. *Let n be a positive integer and Z_1, Z_2 be two centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$, then Z_1 and Z_2 are conjugate if and only if their respective associated alternate modules are isometric.*

Then, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an alternate module to be associated to a centralizer of irreducible subgroup in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ in theorem 2 :

Result 3. *Let $n \geq 1$ and (A,ϕ) be an alternate module then the following assertions are equivalent :*

1. There exists an irreducible subgroup of $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ such that the alternate module associated to its centralizer is isometric to (A,ϕ).

2. The order of Lagrangians in (A,ϕ) divides n.

3. There exists an abelian group B of order n such that (A,ϕ) is isometrically embedded in the symplectic module $B \times B^*$.

This theorem is the generalization of theorem 1 in [Gue16-1]. Alternate modules verifying the assertion 3 will be referred to as *n-subsymplectic* modules. When $n = p$ is prime, conjugacy classes of centralizers are classified by their isomorphism class. In the general case, we prove theorem 3 and corollary 6 in subsection 3.2 :

Result 4. *Conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ are classified by their isomorphism classes if and only if n is squarefree. When n is squarefree, there are exactly 3^r conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ where r is the number of distinct prime numbers dividing n.*

After this, we define $(\mathcal{M}_n, \leq)$ to be the set of isometry classes of n-subsymplectic modules ordered with the inclusion up to isometry. This allows us to draw a graph G_n ruling those inclusions (see examples 2, 3 and 4). When Γ is a finitely generated group, we show in proposition 8, that, by duality, this gives a stratification of the singular locus of the character variety $\chi^i_{Sing}(\Gamma, PSL(n,\mathbb{C}))$. In particular, one should be able to prove similar results to those that were proven in [Gue16-1] when Γ is Fuchsian.

Finally, in section 4, we deal with similar questions in partial quotients of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. We first get theorem 4 which generalizes result 1 :

Result 5. *Let n be a positive integer, d be a divisor of n and $\overline{H}$ be an irreducible subgroup of $\pi_d(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$. Then its centralizer in $\pi_d(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ is abelian of exponent dividing $lcm(n/d,d)$ and of order dividing n^3/d. If $gcd(n/d,d) = 1$ then the order of its centralizer in $\pi_d(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ divides nd.*

Likewise, propositions 13 and 14 respectively generalize results 2 and 3. From section 4, we deduce that classifying conjugacy classes of centralizers in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ is equivalent to classifying conjugacy classes of centralizers in all quotients of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. In corollary 7, we characterize the isotropy group of the corresponding character variety when it is an orbifold (e.g. when Γ is Fuchsian, see [Sik12]).

2 Properties of centralizers of irreducible in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$

In this section, we will demonstrate that any centralizer of an irreducible subgroup in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ is abelian, of bounded exponent and of bounded order (first subsection). In the second subsection, we shall see how to associate an alternate module to any centralizer of an irreducible subgroup in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$. The correspondence will be proven to be faithful.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a group and N a subgroup of $Z(G)$, the center of G. Define $\pi : G \to G/N$ the quotient map. If $\overline{H}$ is a subgroup of G/N, define $H := \pi^{-1}(\overline{H})$ and $U := \pi^{-1}(Z_{G/N}(\overline{H}))$. The function*

$$\phi : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & Mor(H,N) \\ u & \longmapsto & (h \mapsto [u,h]) \end{array} \right.$$

is well defined. It is a group morphism whose kernel is $Z_G(H)$.

Proof. Let $u \in U$ and $h \in H$ then $\pi([u,h]) = [\pi(u),\pi(h)] = N$ since $\pi(u)$ centralizes $\pi(h)$ by definition. Furthermore, if $h_1, h_2 \in H$ then :

$$[u,h_1h_2] = uh_1h_2u^{-1}h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1} = uh_1(u^{-1}u)h_2u^{-1}h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1} = uh_1u^{-1}[u,h_2]h_1^{-1}$$

Since $[u,h_2]$ is central, $[u,h_1h_2] = uh_1u^{-1}h_1^{-1}[u,h_2] = [u,h_1][u,h_2]$. As a result, $\phi_u : h \mapsto [u,h]$ is a morphism from H to N and ϕ is well defined. Using the exact same kind of argument, ϕ is easily proven to be itself a group morphism.

An element u in U belongs to $Ker(\phi)$ if and only if for all $h \in H$ we have $[u,h] = 1_G$, if and only if $u \in Z_G(H)$. □

Once n is given, ξ will always denote a fixed primitive n-th root of the unity in the complex field. Let n be a positive integer and d a divisor of n. We define the natural projection $\pi_d : SL(n,\mathbb{C}) \to SL(n,\mathbb{C})/\langle \xi^{\frac{n}{d}} I_n \rangle$.

Quotients of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ are of the form $\pi_d(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$, where d divides n. We will study centralizers of their irreducible subgroups. Let H be a subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, we define its *d-centralizer* $Z_d(H) := Z_{\pi_d(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))}(\pi_d(H)) \leq \pi_d(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$.

Working in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ rather than in its quotients is a natural thing to do. Therefore, we define for d dividing n and a subgroup H of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, the *d-extended centralizer* $U_d(H)$ of H as the pull-back of $Z_d(H)$ by π_d. From the very definition of $U_d(H)$:

$$U_d(H) = \{g \in SL(n,\mathbb{C}) \mid \forall h \in H, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}/d \text{ such that } [g,h] = \xi^{\frac{n}{d}k} I_n\}.$$

Remark that if $\overline{H}$ is an irreducible subgroup of $\pi_d(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ then $H := \pi_d^{-1}(\overline{H})$ is an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore $\pi_d(\pi_d^{-1}(\overline{H})) = \overline{H}$ and its centralizer $Z_{\pi_d(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))}(\overline{H})$ is equal to $Z_d(H)$. As a result, rather than studying centralizers of irreducible subgroups of quotients of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, we can equivalently study d-extended centralizers of irreducible subgroups of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ for d dividing n.

2.1 Abelianity, exponent and order

Proposition 1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Then, the n-centralizer $Z_n(H)$ of H is abelian of exponent dividing n.*

Proof. According to lemma 2, define the group morphism :

$$\phi_n : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} U_n(H) & \longrightarrow & Mor(H, \langle \xi I_n \rangle) \\ u & \longmapsto & (h \mapsto [u,h]) \end{array} \right.$$

The kernel of ϕ_n is the centralizer $Z_{SL(n,\mathbb{C})}(H)$ of H in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Since H is irreducible, Schur's lemma implies that $Z_{SL(n,\mathbb{C})}(H) = Z(SL(n,\mathbb{C})) = \langle \xi I_n \rangle$. Hence, the group $U_n(H)/Ker(\phi_n) = U_n(H)/\langle \xi I_n \rangle = \pi_n^{-1}(Z_n(H))/\langle \xi I_n \rangle$ is equal to $Z_n(H)$. On the other hand : $U_n(H)/Ker(\phi_n)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Mor(H, \langle \xi I_n \rangle)$.

As a result, $Z_n(H)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Mor(H, \langle \xi I_n \rangle)$. Since this group is abelian of exponent n, it follows that $Z_n(H)$ is also abelian of exponent dividing n. □

The next proposition justifies that the conjugacy class of any element in the n-extended centralizer of an irreducible subgroup in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ is well understood.

Proposition 2. *Let $n \geq 1$ and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. If $u \in U_n(H)$ and $\pi_n(u)$ is of order d in $Z_n(H)$ then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that :*

$$u \text{ is conjugate to } \lambda \begin{pmatrix} I_{\frac{n}{d}} & & & \\ & \xi^{\frac{n}{d}} I_{\frac{n}{d}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi^{\frac{n}{d}(d-1)} I_{\frac{n}{d}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{where } \lambda \in \begin{cases} \langle \xi I_n \rangle & \text{if } d \text{ is odd or } d \text{ even and } n/d \text{ even,} \\ \sqrt{\xi^{-\frac{n(d-1)}{d}}} \langle \xi I_n \rangle & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } n/d \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, $\pi_n(H)$ is irreducible (since H is) so $Z_n(H)$ is finite and $U_n(H)$ is also finite. It follows that u is necessarily of finite order and, in particular, it is diagonalizable.

For all $h \in H$, there exists $s_h \in \mathbb{Z}/n$ such that $[h,u] = \xi^{s_h} I_n$. Applying lemma 2, the application $s : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} H & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/n \\ h & \longmapsto & s_h \end{array} \right.$ is a group morphism. Let ξ^t be a generator of $s(H)$.

Lemma 1 implies that H acts on the spectrum $Sp(u)$ of u (i.e. the set of its eigenvalues) : if $h \in H$ and $\mu \in Sp(u)$ then $h \cdot \mu := \xi^{s_h}\mu$. Let X be an orbit in $Sp(u)$ for this action H. The subspace $\bigoplus_{\mu \in X} E_\mu(u)$ of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/n}$ is stable by H.

Since H is irreducible, this non-trivial subspace is the whole space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/n}$. In particular, the action of H on $Sp(u)$ is transitive and all the eigenspaces have the same dimension $v > 0$. Remarking that H acts through the group morphism s whose image is generated by ξ^t, we can say (if λ is some eigenvalue of u) that $Sp(u) = \lambda\langle\xi^t\rangle$ and since u is diagonalizable :

$$u \text{ is conjugate to } \lambda \begin{pmatrix} I_v & & & \\ & \xi^t I_v & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi^{t(\frac{n}{t}-1)} I_v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the dimensions, $n = |Sp(u)| \times v = \frac{n}{t} v$, hence $t = v$. Since $\pi_n(u)$ is of order d, this implies that $t = \frac{n}{d}k$ with $0 < k < d$ prime to d. Hence :

$$u \text{ is conjugate to } \lambda \begin{pmatrix} I_{\frac{n}{d}} & & & \\ & \xi^{\frac{n}{d}} I_{\frac{n}{d}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi^{\frac{n}{d}(d-1)} I_{\frac{n}{d}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition on λ is given by writing $\det(u) = 1$. Which leads to $\lambda^n \xi^{\frac{n}{d}\frac{n(d-1)}{2}} = 1$.

If d is odd, then 2 divides $d-1$ and then $\lambda^n = 1$.
If d is even and 2 divides $\frac{n}{d}$, then $\lambda^n = \xi^{-\frac{n}{d}\frac{n(d-1)}{2}} = (\xi^n)^{\frac{n}{2d}(d-1)} = 1$.
If d is even and 2 does not divide $\frac{n}{d}$, then $\lambda^n = \xi^{-\frac{n}{d}\frac{n(d-1)}{2}}$ whence :

$$\lambda \equiv \xi^{-\frac{n(d-1)}{2d}} \equiv \sqrt{\xi^{-\frac{n(d-1)}{d}}} \bmod \langle \xi I_n \rangle.$$

□

Let $n \geq 1$ and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, we define the *standard representation* of $U_n(H)$ as the natural inclusion ι_H of $U_n(H)$ in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Its character $\mathrm{Tr} \circ \iota_H$ will be denoted χ_H. Computing this character appears to be easy.

Proposition 3. *Let $n \geq 1$, H be an irreducible subgroup in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and u in $U_n(H)$,*

$$\textit{then : } \chi_H(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{if } u \notin \langle \xi I_n \rangle \\ n\xi^k & \textit{if } u = \xi^k I_n \textit{ where } k \in \mathbb{Z}/n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $u \in U_n(H)$, if $u = \xi^k I_n \in \langle \xi I_n \rangle$ then, $\chi_H(u) = \mathrm{Tr}(\iota_H(u)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\xi^k I_n) = n\xi^k$.

If u is not central, then u is not trivial in $U_n(H)/\langle \xi I_n \rangle = Z_n(H)$. Let $d > 1$ be its order in $Z_n(H)$. By proposition 2 which explicitely gives the conjugacy class of u, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\chi_H(u) = \lambda \frac{n}{d}(1 + \xi^{\frac{n}{d}} + \cdots + \xi^{\frac{n}{d}(d-1)})$ and since $\xi^{\frac{n}{d}}$ is a primitive d-th droot of the unity for $d > 1$, the sum $1 + \xi^{\frac{n}{d}} + \cdots + \xi^{\frac{n}{d}(d-1)} = 0$, therefore $\chi_H(u) = 0$. □

Since $U_n(H)$ is a finite group, we may use the theory of finite group representations in order to have some additional properties on $Z_n(H)$. For instance :

Corollary 1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ then $|Z_n(H)|$ divides n^2. Furthermore, $Z_n(H)$ is irreducible if and only if $|Z_n(H)| = n^2$.*

Proof. First, we sum up classical results of the theory of finite groups representations, they can be found in [Ser77]. Say we are given a representation $\rho : G \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ of a finite group G, then its character $\chi := \mathrm{Tr} \circ \rho$ has a norm defined by :

$$\|\chi\|^2 := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)\chi(g^{-1}).$$

We know that $\|\chi\|^2$ is a natural number and $\|\chi\|^2 = 1$ if and only if the representation ρ is irreducible. Applying this to the standard representation ι_H of $U_n(H)$:

$$\|\chi_H\|^2 = \frac{1}{|U_n(H)|} \sum_{u \in U_n(H)} \chi_H(u)\chi_H(u^{-1}) \underbrace{=}_{\text{by proposition 3}} \frac{n^3}{|U_n(H)|}.$$

Since $\|\chi_H\|^2$ must be an integer, $|U_n(H)|$ divides n^3 and since $|U_n(H)|$ is equal to $n|Z_n(H)|$, $|Z_n(H)|$ divides n^2. Furthermore, $Z_n(H)$ is irreducible if and only if $\pi_n^{-1}(Z_n(H)) = U_n(H)$ is irreducible if and only if $\|\chi_H\|^2 = 1$ if and only if $|Z_n(H)| = n^2$. □

By the following example, the bound is always reached :

Example 1. *Let $n \geq 1$, define :*

$$u := \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \xi & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } M := \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda = 1$ if n is odd and $\sqrt{\xi}$ if n is even. Then $H := \langle u, M \rangle$ is an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ whose n-centralizer is $\pi_n(H)$ which is of order n^2.

Proof. See the proof of proposition 5. □

The second corollary deals with conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$.

Corollary 2. *Let H_1 and H_2 be two irreducible subgroups in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Then $Z_n(H_1)$ is conjugate to $Z_n(H_2)$ if and only if there exists an abstract group isomorphism f from $U_n(H_1)$ to $U_n(H_2)$ such that for all $\xi^k I_n \in Z(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$, $f(\xi^k I_n) = \xi^k I_n$.*

Proof. We begin with the assumption that $Z_n(H_1)$ is conjugate to $Z_n(H_2)$ in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Then there exists $g \in SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ such that $\pi(g)Z_n(H_1)\pi(g)^{-1} = Z_n(H_2)$ and therefore, the element g conjugates $U_n(H_1)$ to $U_n(H_2)$. As a result, the conjugation morphism by g restricted to $U_n(H_1)$ will do the job.

Conversly, say such $f : U_n(H_1) \to U_n(H_2)$ exists. Recall that for $i = 1, 2$, ι_{H_i} defines the injection of $U_n(H_i)$ in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. We define the representation $\rho := \iota_{H_2} \circ f$ from $U_n(H_1)$ into $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $\chi := tr \circ \rho$ its character. Remark that :

$$\text{for } u \in U_n(H_1),\ f(u) \begin{cases} \notin Z(SL(n,\mathbb{C})) \text{ if } u \notin Z(SL(n,\mathbb{C})) \\ = \xi^k I_n \text{ if } u = \xi^k I_n. \end{cases}$$

Applying proposition 3 to χ_{H_1} and χ_{H_2}, we have that for $u = \xi^k I_n \in Z(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$, $\chi_{H_1}(u) = n\xi^k = \chi(u)$ and for $u \notin Z(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$, $\chi_{H_1}(u) = 0 = \chi(u)$. In particular, the representations ι_{H_1} and ρ of $U_n(H_1)$ share the same character. As a result (see [Ser77]), they are conjugate. Since the respective images of ι_{H_1} and ρ are $U_n(H_1)$ and $U_n(H_2)$, the groups $U_n(H_1)$ and $U_n(H_2)$ are conjugate. □

Last consequence of proposition 3 : any subgroup of $Z_n(H)$ remains the centralizer of an irreducible subgroup of $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$.

Corollary 3. *Let $n \geq 1$ and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. For any subgroup A of $Z_n(H)$, $Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(A)) = A$. In particular, any subgroup of $Z_n(H)$ is itself the centralizer of an irreducible subgroup of $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. We denote $B := Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(A))$. The inclusion $A \leq B$ is obvious. Since $\pi_n(H) \leq Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(A)$, we deduce that $B \leq Z_n(H)$. Hence $A \leq B \leq Z_n(H)$.

Let us first show that $Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(B) = Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(A)$. Since $A \leq B$, it is clear that $Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(B) \leq Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(A)$. Furthermore, if z commutes with A then any element $b \in B := Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(A))$ will commute with z by definition.

$$\text{Hence } z \in Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(B), \text{ so that : } Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(B) = Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(A). \tag{1}$$

Let A_0 (resp. B_0) be $\pi_n^{-1}(A)$ (resp. $\pi_n^{-1}(B)$) then $A_0 \leq B_0$, we denote $Z_1(A_0)$ (resp. $Z_1(B_0)$) the centralizer of A_0 (resp. B_0) in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. It follows that $Z_1(B_0) \leq Z_1(A_0)$. Let us show that the index of $Z_1(B_0)$ in $Z_1(A_0)$ is finite. Applying lemma 2, both indices $[U_n(A_0) : Z_1(A_0)]$ and $[U_n(B_0) : Z_1(B_0)]$ are finite. Since :

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(A_0) &= \pi_n^{-1}(Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(A)) \text{ by definition} \\ &= \pi_n^{-1}(Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(B)) \text{ by equation 1} \\ &= U_n(B_0) \text{ by definition,} \end{aligned}$$

we get the following equality :

$$\begin{aligned} [U_n(B_0) : Z_1(B_0)] &= [U_n(A_0) : Z_1(B_0)] \\ &= [U_n(A_0) : Z_1(A_0)][Z_1(A_0) : Z_1(B_0)] \\ &\geq [Z_1(A_0) : Z_1(B_0)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and end up with } [Z_1(A_0) : Z_1(B_0)] < \infty. \tag{2}$$

We make a proof by contradiction, say $A \neq B$, then $A_0 \neq B_0$. Let us show that this contradicts the inequality 2. We denote ρ_{A_0} (resp. ρ_{B_0}) the inclusion of A_0 (resp. B_0) in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ whose character is χ_{A_0} (resp. χ_{B_0}). Since $A_0 < B_0 \leq \pi_n^{-1}(Z_n(H)) = U_n(H)$, those representations are restrictions of the standard representation ι_H of $U_n(H)$ whose character has been computed in proposition 3.

$$\text{This leads to } \|\chi_{A_0}\|^2 = \frac{n^3}{|A_0|} \text{ and } \|\chi_{B_0}\|^2 = \frac{n^3}{|B_0|}.$$

Since $|A_0| < |B_0|$, we have $\|\chi_{A_0}\| > \|\chi_{B_0}\|$. In terms of finite groups representations (cf. [Ser77]), this means that there exists an irreducible B_0-module of $V := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/n}$ which is decomposed as a non-trivial sum of sub A_0-modules. In particular the centralizer of the representation ρ_{B_0} is of infinite index in the centralizer of the representation ρ_{A_0}. This contradicts the assertion 2. As a result, $A = Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(A))$.

In order to prove the last assertion of the corollary, it suffices to remark that $Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(A)$ contains $\pi_n(H)$ and is, therefore, irreducible. □

In the next subsection, we introduce a correspondence between n-centralizer of an irreducible subgroup and alternate modules.

2.2 The alternate module associated to a n-centralizer

Definitions and propositions concerning alternate modules used here can be found in [TW15], [Wal64] and [Gue16-2]. We recall that an alternate module (A, ϕ) is an abelian group equipped with a bilinear map $\phi : A \times A \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. We also remark that the group $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ contains a unique copy of $\mathbb{Z}/n$ (namely, the subgroup generated by $\frac{1}{n}$). In the next proposition, we construct an alternate module out of a finite group G containing a central cyclic subgroup C such that G/C is abelian.

Proposition 4. *Let n be a positive integer, G be a finite group containing a central cyclic subgroup C of order n generated by c_0. Let $A := G/C$ and π be the natural projection of G onto A, assume that A is abelian. Taking for any $a \in A$ an element $\widehat{a} \in G$ such that $\pi(\widehat{a}) = a$ (i.e. an arbitrary lift for a), we define :*

$$\phi_G : \left| \begin{array}{rcl} A \times A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/n \\ (a,b) & \longmapsto & \phi_G(a,b) \text{ verifying } [\widehat{a},\widehat{b}] = c_0^{\phi_G(a,b)} \end{array} \right.$$

Then ϕ_G is well defined (i.e. does not depend on the chosen lifts). Furthermore, (A, ϕ_G) is an alternate module whose kernel K_{ϕ_G} is the image of the center of G by π.

Assume that G_1 and G_2 are two groups containing one fixed central copy of C. Assume furthermore that $G_i/C = A_i$ is abelian for $i = 1, 2$. Finally, assume that there exists an isomorphism f from G_1 to G_2 fixing C point by point, then the alternate modules (A_1, ϕ_{G_1}) and (A_2, ϕ_{G_2}) are isometric.

Proof. The fact that ϕ_G has its values in $\mathbb{Z}/n$ is clear since A is commutative. The fact that ϕ_G does not depend on the chosen lifts $\widehat{\cdot}$ is obvious because two different lifts of the same element are the same element up to a central element. Changing one for another does not change anything in the commutator $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Remark furthermore that if c_0^k is given, then k is well defined modulo n since c_0 is of order n. The fact that ϕ_G is bilinear is a consequence of lemma 2 and of the abelianity of A. If $a \in A$ then $[\widehat{a}, \widehat{a}] = I_n$. Whence $\phi_G(a, a) = 0$ modulo n. Therefore (A, ϕ_G) is an alternate module.

Let $a \in A$ then $a \in K_{\phi_G}$ if and only if $[\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}] = I_n$ for all $b \in A$, if and only if $\widehat{a} \in Z(G)$. Hence the radical of (A, ϕ_G) is the projection in A of the center of G.

Let G_1 and G_2 be like in the proposition. Then f factors through $C \leq G_1$ and $f(C) = C \leq G_2$ and induces a group isomorphism $\overline{f}$ between A_1 and A_2. If $a, b \in A_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{\phi_{G_2}(\overline{f}(a),\overline{f}(b))} &= [\widehat{\overline{f}(a)}, \widehat{\overline{f}(b)}] \text{ by definition of } \phi_{G_2} \\ &= f([\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}]) \text{ by definition of } \overline{f} \\ &= f(\xi^{\phi_{G_1}(a,b)}) \text{ by definition of } \phi_{G_1} \\ &= \xi^{\phi_{G_1}(a,b)} \text{ since } f \text{ fixes } C \text{ by assumption.} \end{aligned}$$

In particular the module (A_1, ϕ_{G_1}) is isometric to the module (A_2, ϕ_{G_2}) since $\overline{f}$ is a group isomorphism. □

In the sequel, given an irreducible subgroup H in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, we apply this proposition to $G := U_n(H)$, $C := Z(SL(n, \mathbb{C}))$, $c_0 := \xi I_n$ and $A := Z_n(H)$. The construction leads to an alternate module denoted $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ and called the *associated alternate module* to H. Applying this proposition and corollary 2, the isometry class of $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ is invariant by conjugation of $Z_n(H)$.

Since we want to classify conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$, we will prove a lemma -a bit more general than we actually need it to be- that will eventually lead to a converse to the second statement in proposition 4. Roughly speaking, it states that if we are given two groups with a central cyclic subgroup C such that it leads to isometric alternate modules, then the two groups are isomorphic (provided they verify a condition on the order of the elements).

Lemma 3. *Let $n \geq 1$, C a cyclic group of order n (denoted multiplicatively with a generator c_0) and A a finite abelian group. Let $(d_r, \ldots, d_1)$ be the type of A, then A is isomorphic to $\langle e_r \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle e_1 \rangle$ where e_i is an element of a of order d_i.*

Let G and H be two central extensions of A by C, we denote π_G (resp. π_H) the projection of G (resp. H) on A. Assume that $\phi_G = \phi_H$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, there exists $g_i \in G$ (resp. $h_i \in H$) such that $\pi_G(g_i) = e_i$ (resp. $\pi_H(h_i) = e_i$) and the order of g_i is equal to the order of h_i which is either d_i or $2d_i$. Then, there exists an isomorphism f between G and H sending $c_0 \in C \leq G$ to $c_0 \in C \leq H$.

Proof. We define a set theoretic section u for π_G and v for π_H. By definition of (e_i), for any element $a \in A$, there exists a unique r-uple $(\alpha_r, \ldots, \alpha_1)$ of integers such that :

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i e_i \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha_i < d_i.$$

We define $u(a) := g_r^{\alpha_r} \ldots g_1^{\alpha_1}$ and $v(a) := h_r^{\alpha_r} \ldots h_1^{\alpha_1}$. By definition of g_i and h_i, u and v are respectively set-theoretic sections of π_G and π_H. Any element of G can be uniquely written as the product of an element c of C and $u(a)$ where $a \in A$ and we will define the isomorphism between G and H of the lemma as :

$$f : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & H \\ cu(a) & \longmapsto & cv(a) \end{array} \right. .$$

The application f is onto, since any element h in the group H can be written as $cv(a)$ and $f(cu(a)) = cv(a) = h$, by definition. Furthermore, if $f(c_1u(a_1)) = f(c_2u(a_2))$, then $a_1 = \pi_H(f(c_1u(a_1))) = \pi_H(f(c_2u(a_2))) = a_2$. It follows that $c_1 = c_2$ and hence $c_1u(a_1) = c_2u(a_2)$ so that f is a bijection. Furthermore, f fixes point by point the elements of C by definition. Remark that those properties of f are easily deduced from the very definition of f. We shall need the assumptions of the lemma to show that f is a group morphism. Let $k_1, k_2 \in G$, and write $k_1 = c_1u(a_1)$, $k_2 = c_2u(a_2)$ where $c_1, c_2 \in C$ and $a_1, a_2 \in A$. Then :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(k_1k_2) = f(k_1)f(k_2) \\
\Leftrightarrow & f(c_1c_2u(a_1)u(a_2)) = c_1c_2u(a_1)u(a_2) \\
\Leftrightarrow & f(\underbrace{c_1c_2u(a_1)u(a_2)u(a_1a_2)^{-1}}_{\in C}u(a_1a_2)) = c_1c_2v(a_1)v(a_2) \\
\Leftrightarrow & c_1c_2u(a_1)u(a_2)u(a_1a_2)^{-1}v(a_1a_2) = c_1c_2v(a_1)v(a_2) \\
\Leftrightarrow & u(a_1)u(a_2)u(a_1a_2)^{-1} = v(a_1)v(a_2)v(a_1a_2)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We need to check that for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$:

$$u(a_1)u(a_2)u(a_1a_2)^{-1} = v(a_1)v(a_2)v(a_1a_2)^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

For $i = 1, 2$, we denote :

$$a_i := \sum_{j=1}^{r} \gamma_j^i e_j \text{ where } 0 \leq \gamma_j^i < d_j.$$

We also write for $1 \leq j \leq r$, $\delta_j := \begin{cases} \gamma_j^1 + \gamma_j^2 & \text{if } \gamma_j^1 + \gamma_j^2 < d_j \\ \gamma_j^1 + \gamma_j^2 - d_j & \text{else.} \end{cases}$. Then :

$$a_1 + a_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \delta_j e_j \text{ with } 0 \leq \delta_j < d_j.$$

By definition of u and the expressions of a_1 and a_2 given above :

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(a_1)u(a_2) &= g_r^{\gamma_r^1} \dots g_1^{\gamma_1^1} g_r^{\gamma_r^2} \dots g_1^{\gamma_1^2} \\
&= g_r^{\gamma_r^1} \dots g_2^{\gamma_2^1} g_r^{\gamma_r^2} g_1^{\gamma_1^1} c_0^{\gamma_1^1\gamma_r^2\phi_G(e_1,e_r)} g_{r-1}^{\gamma_{r-1}^2} \dots g_1^{\gamma_1^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We used the equality $g_rg_1 = c_0^{\phi_G(e_r,e_1)}g_1g_r$ and the fact c_0 is in the center of G. By a straightforward induction :

$$u(a_1)u(a_2) = g_r^{\gamma_r^1+\gamma_r^2} g_{r-1}^{\gamma_{r-1}^1} \dots g_1^{\gamma_1^1} g_{r-1}^{\gamma_{r-1}^2} \dots g_1^{\gamma_1^2} \prod_{i=2}^{r-1} c_0^{\phi_G(e_i,e_r)\gamma_i^1\gamma_r^2}.$$

We may do this again for $g_{r-1}^{\gamma_{r-1}^2}$ up to $g_1^{\gamma_1^2}$ and then we have :

$$u(a_1)u(a_2) = g_r^{\gamma_r^1+\gamma_r^2} \dots g_1^{\gamma_1^1+\gamma_1^2} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} c_0^{\phi_G(e_i,e_j)\gamma_i^1\gamma_j^2}.$$

Finally remark that for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $g_i^{\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i}$ is in C whence it is central. So that :

$$g_r^{\gamma_r^1+\gamma_r^2}\dots g_1^{\gamma_1^1+\gamma_1^2} = g_r^{\gamma_r^1+\gamma_r^2-\delta_r} g_r^{\delta_r}\dots g_1^{\gamma_1^1+\gamma_1^2-\delta_1} g_1^{\delta_1}$$
$$= g_r^{\gamma_r^1+\gamma_r^2-\delta_r}\dots g_1^{\gamma_1^1+\gamma_1^2-\delta_1} g_r^{\delta_r}\dots g_1^{\delta_1}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{r} g_i^{\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i} u(a_1+a_2).$$

$$\text{Finally}: u(a_1)u(a_2)u(a_1+a_2)^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} g_i^{\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i} \prod_{j=1}^{r}\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} c_0^{\phi_G(e_i,e_j)\gamma_i^1\gamma_j^2}. \tag{4}$$

Using the same arguments applied to H :

$$v(a_1)v(a_2)v(a_1+a_2)^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} h_i^{\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i} \prod_{j=1}^{r}\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} c_0^{\phi_H(e_i,e_j)\gamma_i^1\gamma_j^2}. \tag{5}$$

By assumption, ϕ_G and ϕ_H are equal, whence :

$$\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} c_0^{\phi_G(e_i,e_j)\gamma_i^1\gamma_j^2} = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} c_0^{\phi_H(e_i,e_j)\gamma_i^1\gamma_j^2}. \tag{6}$$

Let $1 \le i \le r$, denote $\lambda_i := g_i^{\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i}$ and $\mu_i := h_i^{\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i}$. By assumption g_i and h_i have the same order which is either d_i or $2d_i$. If the order of g_i is d_i then, since $\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i = 0$ or d_i, we have that $\lambda_i = 1_G = \mu_i$. If g_i and h_i are both of order $2d_i$, then, if $\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i = 0$, and we have $\lambda_i = 1_G = \mu_i$, otherwise $\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i = d_i$, in which case λ_i and μ_i are both elements of order 2 in a cyclic group C, but in a cyclic group, there exists at most one element of order 2 whence $\lambda_i = \mu_i$.

In any case $h_i^{\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i} = g_i^{\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i}$ for $1 \le i \le r$. It follows that :

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} g_i^{\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} h_i^{\gamma_i^1+\gamma_i^2-\delta_i}.$$

Combining this equality to the equations 4,5 and 6, we get for $a_1, a_2 \in A$:

$$u(a_1)u(a_2)u(a_1+a_2)^{-1} = v(a_1)v(a_2)v(a_1+a_2)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, f is a group morphism and the lemma is proven. □

We are now ready to state our first theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $n \ge 1$, $\overline{H_1}$ and $\overline{H_2}$ be two irreducible subgroups of $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Denote $H_i := \pi_n^{-1}(\overline{H_i})$ the pull-back in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Then $Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(\overline{H_1})$ is conjugate to $Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(\overline{H_2})$ if and only if $(Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(\overline{H_1}), \phi_{H_1})$ is isometric to $(Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(\overline{H_2}), \phi_{H_2})$.*

Proof. First, remark that for $i = 1, 2$, $Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(\overline{H_i}) = Z_n(H_i)$. If $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$, proposition 4 and corollary 2 imply that $(Z_n(H_1), \phi_{H_1})$ and $(Z_n(H_2), \phi_{H_2})$ are isometric.

Conversely, say $(Z_n(H_1), \phi_{H_1})$ and $(Z_n(H_2), \phi_{H_2})$ are isometric. Then, up to composing the projection $\pi_1 : U_n(H_1) \to Z_n(H_1)$ by the isometry between $(Z_n(H_1), \phi_{H_1})$ and $(Z_n(H_2), \phi_{H_2})$, we may assume that the alternate modules constructed from $U_n(H_i)$ are both equal to (A, ϕ). Let a be in A and d be its order, then, applying proposition 2, there exists $u_i \in U_n(H_i)$ such that :

$$u_i \text{ is conjugate to } \lambda \begin{pmatrix} I_{\frac{n}{d}} & & & \\ & \xi^{\frac{n}{d}} I_{\frac{n}{d}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi^{\frac{n}{d}(d-1)} I_{\frac{n}{d}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{with } \lambda \in \begin{cases} \langle \xi I_n \rangle & \text{if } d \text{ is odd or } d \text{ even and } n/d \text{ even} \\ \sqrt{\xi^{-\frac{n(d-1)}{d}}} \langle \xi I_n \rangle & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } n/d \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Up to multiplying u_i by ξ^k, we may take $\lambda = 1$ if d is odd or n/d is even (in which case the lift u_i of a is of order d) and $\lambda = \sqrt{\xi^{-\frac{n(d-1)}{d}}}$ else (in which case the lift u_i of a is of order $2d$). We are, now, in the conditions of application of lemma 3 and there exists a group isomorphism between $U_n(H_1)$ and $U_n(H_2)$ fixing, point by point $\langle \xi I_n \rangle$. Hence $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ are conjugate by corollary 2. □

In the next section, we shall see under which necessary and sufficient condition an alternate module (A, ϕ) is associated to a centralizer of an irreducible subgroup in $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

3 Classification of centralizers in $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$

In this section, we characterize the alternate modules associated to centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Recall first that applying corollary 1, if an abelian group is isomorphic to such centralizer then its order divides n^2. A centralizer of an irreducible subgroup in $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is *full* if its order is n^2.

In the first subsection, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an alternate module to be associated to such centralizer. Full centralizers play a key role in the study. In the second section, we focus on the consequences of this result.

3.1 n-subsymplectic modules and associated centralizers

Once again, we begin with a result from representation theory. Let G be a finite group and $\rho : G \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a representation of G acting linearly on $V^{\mathbb{Z}/n}$ through ρ then V may be decomposed as a sum of maximal isotypic sub-modules $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ (i.e. which are linearly equivalent to $\lambda_i \cdot (W_i, \rho_i)$ where (W_i, ρ_i) is an irreducible representation of

G and $\lambda_i > 0$). Furthermore, up to the order of (V_i), the decomposition happens to be unique. This leads to a technical lemma :

Lemma 4. *Let $n \geq 1$, G be a finite subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Define ι_G to be the inclusion of G into $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Let $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$ be the maximal isotypic decomposition of (V, ι_G). Then $N_{GL(n,\mathbb{C})}(G)$ acts on the set $\{V_1, \ldots, V_k\}$ of subspaces occuring in the maximal isotypic decomposition of (V, ι_G).*

Proof. Let n be in $N_{GL(n,\mathbb{C})}(G)$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. For all $g \in G$ and $v \in V_i$:

$$g \cdot (n(v_i)) = (gn) \cdot v_i = n(n^{-1}gn) \cdot (v_i) = n \overbrace{\underbrace{n^{-1}gn}_{\in G} \cdot (v_i)}^{\in V_i}.$$

As a result nV_i is stable by G and nV_i is a submodule of V. Furthermore, if (V_i, ρ_i') is the induced representation on V_i by ι_G, then the representation on nV_i induced by ι_G is $(nV_i, \rho_i'(n^{-1} \cdot n))$. In particular, V_i being isotypic, nV_i is also isotypic and is therefore included in a maximal isotypic submodule. This implies that there exists $1 \leq j \leq r$ such that $nV_i \leq V_j \Leftrightarrow V_i \leq n^{-1}V_j$.

Using the same argument, $n^{-1}V_j$ is also isotypic, but it contains V_i which is maximal isotypic, it follows that $V_i = n^{-1}V_j$ whence $nV_i = V_j$. □

We recall notations and results from [Gue16-2]. Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module, we say that K included in A is *isotropic* if K is orthogonal to itself. We say that L included in A is *Lagrangian* if $L^{\perp} = L$. Remark that (proposition 2 in loc. cit.) Lagrangians are exactly the maximal isotropic subsets in A. Likewise, we have proven that any Lagrangian of the alternate module (A, ϕ) is of order $n_{A,\phi} := \sqrt{|A||K_{\phi}|}$ where K_{ϕ} denotes the radical (or kernel) of (A, ϕ).

Proposition 5. *Let $n \geq 1$ and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, then the order $n_{Z_n(H),\phi_H}$ of Lagrangians in $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ divides n.*

Proof. Let K be an isotropic subgroup in $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ and K_0 be its pull-back in $U_n(H)$. If $k_1, k_2 \in K_0$ then :

$$\begin{aligned}[k_1, k_2] &= \xi^{\phi_H(\pi_n(k_1), \pi_n(k_2))} \text{ by definition of } \phi_H \\ &= I_n \text{ since } (K, \phi_{H|K \times K}) \text{ is trivial.}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if K is isotropic, then $\pi_n^{-1}(K)$ is an abelian subgroup in $U_n(H)$. In particular, if L is a Lagrangian in $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$, then $L_0 := \pi_n^{-1}(L)$ is an abelian subgroup of $U_n(H)$. Denote $\iota_0 : L_0 \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ the inclusion of L_0 in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Let $h \in H$ and $x \in L_0$ then $hxh^{-1} \in \langle \xi I_n \rangle x$ since $x \in U_n(H)$. Because $\langle \xi I_n \rangle$ is included in L_0, it follows that hxh^{-1} belongs to L_0 as well. Whence, H normalizes L_0.

We denote $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ to be the maximal isotypic subspaces occuring in (V, ι_0). Using lemma 4, H acts on $\{V_1, \ldots, V_k\}$. Furthermore, if the action were not transitive then H

would stabilize a non-trivial subspace of V contradicting its irreducibility. Whence, the action of H on $\{V_1, \ldots, V_k\}$ is transitive and, in particular, $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ share the same dimension $\lambda > 0$.

Since L_0 is an abelian group, each V_i can be decomposed as λ copies of a 1-dimensional representation (W_i, ρ_i) of L_0. We denote χ_0 to be the character of ι_0 and χ_i the character of $(V_i, \iota_{0,|V_i})$. By considerations of finite groups representation theory (see [Ser77]), $\|\chi_i\|^2 = \lambda^2$ and by orthogonality of the characters χ_i and χ_j for $i \neq j$:

$$\|\chi_0\|^2 = k\lambda^2 = \lambda \underbrace{\dim(V)}_{=n}.$$

On the other hand, $\chi_0 = \chi_{H|L_0}$ where χ_H is the character of the standard representation ι_H of $U_n(H)$ whose character has been computed in proposition 3. Hence :

$$\|\chi_0\|^2 = \frac{n^3}{|L_0|}.$$

As a result, we have that $|L_0| = n\frac{n}{\lambda}$. Since $L_0 = \pi_n^{-1}(L)$ and the kernel of π_n is of order n, we end up with $|L| = \frac{n}{\lambda}$. In particular the order of L, which is $n_{Z_n(H),\phi_H}$, divides n. □

We just found a necessary condition (on the cardinality of Lagrangians) for an alternate module to be associated to the centralizer of an irreducible subgroup of $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$. It will be proven to be a sufficient condition as well in theorem 2. In order to prove this, we need to construct some particular examples of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 6. *For any $n \geq 1$ and B abelian group of order n, there exists a finite irreducible subgroup H of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ of order n^2 such that $Z_n(H)$ is isomorphic to $B \times B$.*

Proof. We prove it by induction on $n \geq 1$. If $n = 1$, then the property is obviously true. Assume $n > 1$. Let B be an abelian group of order n and d be the exponent of B. Denote $B := B_1 \times \langle e \rangle$ where e is of order d. Let K be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n/d, \mathbb{C})$ of order $(n/d)^2$ such that $Z_{n/d}(K)$ is isomorphic to B_1 (by induction hypothesis). We define a subgroup K_0 of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ by blocks of size n/d :

$$K_0 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & k \end{pmatrix} \mid k \in K \right\}.$$

We also denote :

$$M := \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & I_{n/d} \\ I_{n/d} & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & I_{n/d} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } u := \lambda \begin{pmatrix} I_{\frac{n}{d}} & & & \\ & \xi^{\frac{n}{d}} I_{\frac{n}{d}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi^{\frac{n}{d}(d-1)} I_{\frac{n}{d}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where λ is defined as in proposition 2 (this implies that $\det(M) = \det(u) = 1$). Finally, let H be the subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ generated by K_0, M , u and ξI_n.

Since u is scalar by blocks of size n/d with distinct eigenvalues, it follows that :

$$Z_{SL(n,\mathbb{C})}(u) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_0 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & g_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} g_0, \ldots, g_{d-1} \in GL(n/d,\mathbb{C}) \\ \det(g_0)\ldots\det(g_{d-1}) = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

The commutator $[M,u]$ is of order d in $Z(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ which is the order of $\pi_n(u)$. As a result, $U_n(H) \leq U_n(\langle u \rangle) = \langle Z_{SL(n,\mathbb{C})}(u), M \rangle$. Let $g_0, \ldots, g_{d-1}$ be in $GL(n/d,\mathbb{C})$ and $b \geq 0$ such that :

$$x := \begin{pmatrix} g_0 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & g_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} M^b \in U_n(H).$$

Then $[M,x]$ is in $Z(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ and since $\pi_n(M)$ is of order d, it follows that $[M,x]$ is of order dividing d. Since $[M,u]$ is of order d, up to multiplying x by some power of u, we may assume that $[M,x] = I_n$. But this implies (by a straightforward calculation) that $g_0 = \cdots = g_{d-1}$. Hence we just showed that :

$$U_n(H) \leq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & g \end{pmatrix} u^l M^b \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} g \in GL(n/d,\mathbb{C}),\ \det(g)^d = 1 \\ b, l \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

In the sequel, $D(g)$ will be the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} g & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & g \end{pmatrix}$ where $g \in GL(n/d,\mathbb{C})$.

Let $g \in GL(n/d,\mathbb{C})$ with $\det(g)^d = 1$ then $\det(g)$ is a d-th root of the unity. Up to multiplying $D(g)$ by a n/d-th root of $\det(g)$ (which is a n-th root of the unity, i.e. ξ^s for some integer s), we may assume that $\det(g) = 1$. Whence :

$$U_n(H) \leq \left\{ \xi^s D(g) u^l M^b \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} g \in SL(n/d,\mathbb{C}) \\ s, b, l \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}. \tag{7}$$

Let us define an application $\psi_0 : \left| \begin{array}{rcl} U_n(H) & \longrightarrow & \langle \xi^{n/d} \rangle \times \langle \xi^{n/d} \rangle \\ v & \longmapsto & ([v,M],[v,u]) \end{array} \right.$.

The application ψ_0 is a group morphism (consequence of lemma 2), it is surjective since $\psi_0(u)$ and $\psi_0(M)$ generate $\langle \xi^{n/d} \rangle \times \langle \xi^{n/d} \rangle$ and it factors through $Z_n(H)$. It follows that we have a surjective isomorphism ψ from $Z_n(H)$ to $\mathbb{Z}/d \times \mathbb{Z}/d$.

From the inclusion 7, it follows that $Ker(\psi) \leq \{\pi_n(D(g)) \mid g \in SL(n,\mathbb{C})\}$. In particular $\langle \pi_n(M), \pi_n(u) \rangle$ is of trivial intersection with $Ker(\psi)$. Since it surjects onto $\mathbb{Z}/d \times \mathbb{Z}/d$ through ψ, it follows that :

$$Z_n(H) \text{ is isomorphic to } Ker(\psi) \times \mathbb{Z}/d \times \mathbb{Z}/d. \tag{8}$$

Let $k \in K$ and $k_0 := D(k) \in K_0 \leq H$. For $g \in SL(n/d,\mathbb{C})$: $[k_0, D(g)] = D([k,g])$. As a result, $D(g) \in U_n(H)$ if and only if $g \in U_{n/d}(K)$. In particular, this leads to a well defined group morphism modulo $\langle \xi I_n \rangle$:

$$\varphi : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} Ker(\psi) & \longrightarrow & Z_{n/d}(K) \\ \pi_n(D(g)) & \longmapsto & \pi_{n/d}(g) \end{array} \right. .$$

Because φ is injective, $Ker(\psi)$ is isomorphic to $Z_{n/d}(K)$ which has been chosen to be isomorphic to $B_1 \times B_1$. As a result, with the decomposition 8, $Z_n(H)$ is isomorphic to $B_1 \times B_1 \times \mathbb{Z}/d \times \mathbb{Z}/d$ which is isomorphic to $B \times B$. Remark that $|H| = |K|d^2 = n^2$. In particular, H is finite so it is completely reducible and since its centralizer is of finite order, it is irreducible. So we can conclude by induction. □

In the proof, we did not only find an irreducible subgroup $\overline{H}$ of $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ whose centralizer is isomorphic to $B \times B$ but, as is, the induction also shows that $\overline{H}$ is its own centralizer. We did not emphasize it in the proposition because, in the next proposition, we shall see that full centralizers (i.e. $Z_n(H)$ of order n^2 where H is an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$) have many properties including this one.

We recall (see [TW15]) that an alternate module (A,ϕ) is said to be *symplectic* if its radical is trivial. Furthermore if (A,ϕ) is symplectic then (A,ϕ) is isometric to $B \times B^*$ (corollary 7.4 in loc. cit.) where B is abelian of order n and $B \times B^*$ is endowed with its canonical symplectic form.

Proposition 7. *Let $n \geq 1$ and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ containing the center of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Then the following assertions are equivalent :*

1. $|Z_n(H)| = n^2$
2. *$Z_n(H)$ is irreducible.*
3. *$\pi_n(H)$ is abelian.*
4. *$\pi_n(H)$ is its own centralizer.*
5. *$(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ is isometric to $B \times B^*$ where B is abelian of order n*

Furthermore, if H_1 and H_2 are two irreducible subgroups verifying one of these assertions and $Z_n(H_1)$ is abstractly isomorphic to $Z_n(H_2)$ then H_1 and H_2 are conjugate.

Proof. The equivalence $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$ is exactly the second assertion in corollary 1.

$(2 \Rightarrow 3)$. Say $Z_n(H)$ is irreducible, then its centralizer is abelian by proposition 1, therefore, $\pi_n(H)$ which commutes with $Z_n(H)$ is abelian as well.

$(3 \Rightarrow 4)$. Let ρ be the inclusion of H into $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and χ its character. Since H is included in $U_n(H)$, ρ is the standard representation ι_H of $U_n(H)$ restricted to H whose character has been computed in proposition 3. It follows that $\|\chi\|^2 = \frac{n^3}{|H|}$. On the other hand, since H is irreducible, ρ is irreducible and then $\|\chi^2\| = 1$. Whence $|H| = n^3$ and $|\pi_n(H)| = n^2$. Since $|Z_n(H)| \leq n^2$ and $\pi_n(H)$ is included in $Z_n(H)$, it follows that $\pi_n(H) = Z_n(H)$ and $\pi_n(H)$ is its own centralizer.

$(4 \Rightarrow 2)$. If $\pi_n(H)$ is its own centralizer then $Z_n(H) = \pi_n(H)$ is irreducible.

$(5 \Rightarrow 1)$ is obvious. $(1 \Rightarrow 5)$. The alternate module $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ is of order n^2. By proposition 5, we have that $n_{Z_n(H),\phi_H} := \sqrt{|Z_n(H)||K_{\phi_H}|}$ divides n. It follows that $\sqrt{|K_{\phi_H}|}$ divides 1. Whence K_{ϕ_H} is trivial and $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ is a symplectic module of order n^2. By corollary 7.4 in [TW15], there exists an abelian group B of order n such that (A, ϕ) is isometric to $B \times B^*$ with its canonical symplectic form.

Let H_1 and H_2 be two irreducible subgroups in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ containing $Z(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ such that $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ are isomorphic. Since they verify the assertion 5, $(Z_n(H_1), \phi_{H_1})$ and $(Z_n(H_2), \phi_{H_2})$ are both symplectic modules with isomorphic underlying groups. Since the isometry class of a symplectic module is simply given by the isomorphism class of its underlying group, it follows that $(Z_n(H_1), \phi_{H_1})$ and $(Z_n(H_2), \phi_{H_2})$ are isometric. As a result, applying theorem 1, the subgroups $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ are conjugate in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Since for $i = 1, 2$, $\pi_n(H_i) = Z_n(H_i)$ and $H_i = \pi_n^{-1}(\pi_n(H_i))$ it follows that H_1 is conjugate to H_2. □

We end this subsection with a characterization theorem :

Theorem 2. *Let $n \geq 1$ and (A, ϕ) be an alternate module, then the following assertions are equivalent :*

1.There exists an irreducible subgroup H in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ such that $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ is isometric to (A, ϕ).

2. The order of Lagrangians in (A, ϕ) divides n.

3. There exists an abelian group B of order n such that (A, ϕ) is isometrically embedded in $B \times B^*$.

In particular, full centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ are the maximal elements among the centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The implication $1 \Rightarrow 2$ is exactly the statement of proposition 5.

Let us show that $2 \Rightarrow 3$. Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module and $d = n_{A,\phi}$ be the order of one of its Lagrangians which divides n by assumption. Using the theorem proven in [Gue16-2] (alternate modules are subsymplectic), there exists an abelian group B_0 of order d such that (A, ϕ) is isometrically embedded in $B_0 \times B_0^*$. Defining the abelian group $B := B_0 \times \mathbb{Z}/(n/d)$, $B_0 \times B_0^*$ is isometrically embedded in $B \times B^*$, so that (A, ϕ) is also isometrically embedded in $B \times B^*$. Since $|B| = |B_0|n/d = n$, we have assertion 3.

Let us show that $3 \Rightarrow 1$. Assume that (A, ϕ) is isometrically embedded in $B \times B^*$. Let H be an irreducible subgroup such that $Z_n(H)$ is isomorphic (as a group) to $B \times B$ (by proposition 6). Since $|Z_n(H)| = |B|^2 = n^2$, it follows, by proposition 7, that $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ is isometric to $B \times B^*$. We denote f a group isomorphism from $Z_n(H)$ to $B \times B^*$ which is an isometry.

Denote (A_0, ϕ_0) the submodule of $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ which is sent to (A, ϕ) by f. By corollary 3, A_0 is the n-centralizer of an irreducible subgroup K of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, that is to say $Z_n(K) = A_0$. Furthermore, from the definition of (A_0, ϕ_K) and $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$, $\phi_{H|A_0 \times A_0} = \phi_K$. Since $\phi_0 := \phi_{H|A_0 \times A_0}$, the alternate module (A_0, ϕ_0) is of the form $(Z_n(K), \phi_K)$. Since (A, ϕ) is isometric to (A_0, ϕ_0) we have the assertion 1.

By corollary 1, full centralizers reach the maximal order of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Whence, full centralizers are maximal among the centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Conversly, if H is an irreducible subgroup in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, then $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ is isometrically embedded in $B \times B^*$ (since $1 \Leftrightarrow 3$) with B abelian group of order n. Using propositions 6 and 7, there exists an irreducible subgroup S of $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that S is isomorphic to $B \times B^*$. If A is the image of $Z_n(H)$ into $B \times B^*$ then $A = Z_n(K)$ by corollary 3. It follows that $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ is isometric to $(Z_n(K), \phi_K)$. Hence $Z_n(H)$ and $Z_n(K)$ are conjugate (by theorem 1), since $Z_n(K)$ is included in $S = Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(S)$ which is a full centralizer, we have that any centralizer of irreducible subgroup in $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is contained in a full centralizer. This implies that all maximal elements among the centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ must be full centralizers. □

This theorem will have some consequences that we are going to sum up in the next subsection. In the sequel, if (A, ϕ) is an alternate module, we will say that (A, ϕ) is *n-subsymplectic* if there exists an abelian group B of order n such that (A, ϕ) is isometrically embedded in $B \times B^*$.

3.2 Consequences of the classification

Something that may be the most obvious consequence is the following :

Corollary 4. *Let $n \geq 1$, then the number of conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is finite.*

Proof. Using theorem 1, we can equivalently bound the number of isometry classes of associated alternate modules. Using theorem 2, it suffices to show that there are only a finite number of isometry classes of n-subsymplectic modules.

When we fix B, the module $B \times B^*$ has only a finite number of submodules, since there exist only a finite number of abelian groups B of order n, there are only a finite number of symplectic modules of order n^2, up to isometry, and hence, a finite number of n-subsymplectic modules up to isometry. □

In the next corollary, we characterize the isomorphism classes of centralizers :

Corollary 5. *Let $n \geq 1$ and A be an abelian group. Then there exists an irreducible subgroup H of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $Z_n(H)$ is isomorphic to A if and only if there exists an abelian group B of order n such that A is isomorphic to a subgroup of $B \times B$.*

In particular, for any abelian group A, there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ and an irreducible subgroup H of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $Z_n(H)$ is isomorphic to A.

Proof. If A is isomorphic to $Z_n(H)$ where H is an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ then, by theorem 2, there exists an abelian group B of order n such that $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ is isometrically embedded in $B \times B^*$. In particular $Z_n(H)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $B \times B^*$ which is (non-canonically) isomorphic to $B \times B$. Hence A is isomorphic to a subgroup of $B \times B$.

Let B be an abelian group B of order n such that A is isomorphic to a subgroup of $B \times B$. By proposition 6, there exists an irreducible subgroup H of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $Z_n(H) = B \times B$. Let A_0 be the image of $A \leq B \times B$ in $Z_n(H)$, by corollary 3, A is the n-centralizer of an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark that A is always included in $A \times A$. Hence, if $n := |A|$, applying what we have already done in this corollary, there exists an irreducible subgroup H of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that A is isomorphic to $Z_n(H)$. □

If p is a prime number, we have recalled in the introduction that conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(p, \mathbb{C})$ are classified by their isomorphism classes. We would like to know exactly when this convenient property holds. We recall that an integer $n \geq 2$ is *squarefree* if it cannot be divided by a non-trivial square.

Lemma 5. *Let n be a squarefree integer and (A, ϕ) be an alternate module of rank 2, of exponent dividing n such that $n_{A,\phi}$ divides n. Let A be isomorphic to $\langle b \rangle \times \langle a \rangle$ with e (the order of b) dividing d (the order of a) dividing n. Then :*

$$(A, \phi) \text{ is isometric to } \left(\mathbb{Z}/e \times \mathbb{Z}/d, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/e \\ 1/e & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Proof. Let e' be the order of $\phi(b, a)$. Then K_ϕ contains $\langle b^{e'} \rangle$ of order e/e' and $\langle a^{e'} \rangle$ of order d/e'. Since those two groups are in trivial intersection, K_ϕ contains their direct product and $|K_\phi|$ is divided by $\frac{de}{(e')^2}$. Remark that $|A| = de$. It follows that

$$n_{A,\phi} := \sqrt{|A||K_\phi|} = de/e'.$$

Since $n_{A,\phi}$ divides n by assumption, $d\frac{e}{e'}$ divides n. Assume that e' is a strict divisor of e and take p a prime number dividing $\frac{e}{e'}$ then p divides d and, hence, p^2 divides n which contradicts the fact that n is squarefree. Hence $\phi(b, a)$ is of order e.

Denote $\phi(b,a) = \frac{\lambda}{e} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\phi(b,a)$ is of order e, λ is prime to e. Let μ be an integer such that $\lambda\mu = 1 \bmod e$. Then the automorphism $f : A \to A$ sending b to b^μ and a to a is an isometry between (A,ϕ) and $\left(\mathbb{Z}/e \times \mathbb{Z}/d, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/e \\ 1/e & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$. □

This lemma leads to the following theorem :

Theorem 3. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups of $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ are classified by their isomorphism classes if and only if n is squarefree.*

Proof. Assume that n is squarefree. Let H_1 and H_2 be two irreducible subgroups in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ such that $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ are isomorphic. First remark that, by corollary 5, $Z_n(H_i)$ is necessarily isomorphic to a subgroup of $B \times B$ where B is abelian of order n. Since n is squarefree, B is actually cyclic. It follows that the common rank of $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ is lesser or equal to 2.

If the common rank of $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ is 0 or 1, then for $i = 1, 2$, $(Z_n(H_i), \phi_{H_i})$ is necessarily the trivial module (i.e. $\phi_{H_i} = 0$). Since $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ are isomorphic, it is clear that $(Z_n(H_1), \phi_{H_1})$ and $(Z_n(H_2), \phi_{H_2})$ are isometric. Whence, using theorem 1, $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ are conjugate.

Else, the common rank of $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ is 2. Let $\mathbb{Z}/e \times \mathbb{Z}/d$ be the isomorphism class of both $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$. Since $n_{Z_n(H_1),\phi_{H_1}}$ and $n_{Z_n(H_2),\phi_{H_2}}$ both divide n, we are in the condition of application of lemma 5. In particular $(Z_n(H_1), \phi_{H_1})$ and $(Z_n(H_2), \phi_{H_2})$ are isometric and, by theorem 1, $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ are conjugate.

Assume that n is not squarefree. Let p be a prime number such that p^2 divides n. We define two structures of alternate module on $\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$:

$$M_1 := (\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p, 0) \text{ where the form is trivial.}$$
$$M_2 := \mathbb{Z}/p \times (\mathbb{Z}/p)^* \text{ where the form is symplectic.}$$

Remark that M_1 is Lagrangian in M_1 so the order of its Lagrangian is p^2 which divides n. By theorem 2, there exists an irreducible subgroup H_1 of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ such that $(Z_n(H_1), \phi_{H_1})$ is isometric to M_1. On the other hand the radical of M_2 is trivial, so the order of its Lagrangian is p which divides n. By theorem 2, there exists an irreducible subgroup H_2 of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ such that $(Z_n(H_2), \phi_{H_2})$ is isometric to M_2.

Even if $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ are both isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$, $Z_n(H_1)$ and $Z_n(H_2)$ are not conjugate because M_1 and M_2 are not isometric (see the last assertion of proposition 4). □

As a result, when n is squarefree, we can compute the number of conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$.

Corollary 6. *Let $n = p_1 \dots p_r$ be a squarefree integer (i.e. $p_1, \dots, p_r$ are two-by-two different prime numbers). There are exactly 3^r conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. From theorem 3, it suffices to compute the number of different isomorphism classes. When n is squarefree, the cyclic group of order n is the only abelian group of order n. Because of corollary 5, it suffices to compute the number of subgroups in $\mathbb{Z}/n \times \mathbb{Z}/n$. In order to do this, we associate to any subgroup A of $\mathbb{Z}/n \times \mathbb{Z}/n$ the r-uple $(A_{p_1}, \ldots, A_{p_r})$ of its p_i-Sylows. Since the isomorphism class of A only depends on $(A_{p_1}, \ldots, A_{p_r})$, it suffices to compute the number of possible choices for the p_i-Sylows.

Since A_{p_i} is clearly included in the p_i-Sylow of $\mathbb{Z}/n \times \mathbb{Z}/n$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p_i \times \mathbb{Z}/p_i$, it follows that we have exactly three choices for A_{p_i} : $\{0\}$, $\mathbb{Z}/p_i$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p_i \times \mathbb{Z}/p_i$. As a result we have 3^r different choices for the isomorphism class of A. □

Now we would like to highlight a last consequence that might be the most fruitful. Let $\mathcal{M}_n$ be the set of isometry classes of alternate modules which are n-subsymplectic. We see $(\mathcal{M}_n, \leq)$ as an ordered set, where $\leq$ is the usual relation of inclusion (up to isometry). For any $n \geq 1$, we define a graph structure G_n, by taking for the set of vertices, the set $\mathcal{M}_n$ and any two classes of modules M_1 and M_2 are linked by an edge if $M_1 \leq M_2$ and $|M_2|/|M_1|$ is a prime number or $M_2 \leq M_1$ and $|M_1|/|M_2|$ is a prime number.

Before giving some examples we give a notation for some alternate modules. For any finite abelian group B, the symplectic module $B \times B^*$ will be denoted $S(B)$. For any integer $k \geq 1$, the trivial module on $\mathbb{Z}/k$ will be denoted by C_k. For any triple (e', e, d) of integers such that e' divides e and e divides d :

$$M_{e',e,d} := \left(\mathbb{Z}/e \times \mathbb{Z}/d, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/e' \\ 1/e' & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Furthermore, here, $\oplus$ will denote the orthogonal sum.

Example 2. *If p is a prime number, there are exactly* 3 *conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(p, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Indeed, it suffices to compute the cardinal of $\mathcal{M}_p$ by theorem 2. In this case, the graph G_p can easily seen to be like in figure 1.

order of modules	graph
p^2	$S(\mathbb{Z}/p)$
	⋮
p	C_p
	⋮
1	C_1

Figure 1: The graph G_p

□



Figure 2: The graph G_{p^2}

Example 3. *If p is a prime number, there are exactly 9 conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(p^2, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Indeed, it suffices to compute the cardinal of $\mathcal{M}_{p^2}$ by theorem 2. In this case, the graph G_{p^2} can be computed to be like in figure 2.

□

Example 4. *If p is a prime number, there are exactly 24 conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(p^3, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Indeed, it suffices to compute the cardinal of $\mathcal{M}_{p^3}$ by theorem 2. In this case, the graph G_{p^3} can be computed like in figure 3.

□

In [Gue16-1], we defined for G a complex semi-simple Lie group and Γ a finitely generated group a *bad* representation to be an irreducible representation $\rho : \Gamma \to G$ with a non-trivial centralizer. We also defined the *singular locus* of the character variety to be the set of conjugacy classes of bad representations. This set is denoted $\chi^i_{Sing}(\Gamma, G)$. In the same paper, we used the theorem classifying centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(p, \mathbb{C})$ (p is a prime number) to study, for any finitely generated group Γ, the singular locus of the character variety $\chi^i_{Sing}(\Gamma, PSL(p, \mathbb{C}))$.



Figure 3: The graph G_{p^3}

Somehow, we hope that the same thing is possible when the prime number is replaced by any integer n. Basically, the idea is the following. Let M be the isometry class of

an alternate module in $\mathcal{M}_n$. Because of theorem 2, there exists a centralizer Z_M of an irreducible subgroup in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ whose associated alternate module is M. For any $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$, we define a subset χ_M of the character variety :

$$\chi_M := \left\{ PSL(n,\mathbb{C}) \cdot \rho \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \rho \in Hom(\Gamma, Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(Z_M)) \\ \rho \text{ is irreducible} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Using the fact that Z_M is well defined up to conjugation, χ_M does not depend on the conjugate of Z_M we chose. For any $PSL(n,\mathbb{C}) \cdot \rho$ in χ_M, ρ is centralized by some conjugate of Z_M. Furthermore, using again the unicity, up to conjugation of Z_M, if ρ is an irreducible representation of Γ into $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ such that the alternate module M_ρ associated to its centralizer contains an isometric copy of M then the orbit of ρ is contained in χ_M. As a result, if we consider $(\mathcal{LS}_n, \leq)$ to be the set of χ_M for M being an element of $\mathcal{M}_n$ and $\leq$ is the usual inclusion then $(\mathcal{LS}_n, \leq)$ is a partially ordered set which verifies :

Proposition 8. *For $n \geq 2$ and Γ a finitely generated group, the application $\chi : \mathcal{M}_n \to \mathcal{LS}_n$ sending M to χ_M is decreasing. Furthermore :*

$$\chi^i_{Sing}(\Gamma, PSL(n,\mathbb{C})) = \bigcup_{\substack{M \in \mathcal{M}_n \\ |M|>1}} \chi_M.$$

Finally, if $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is of order n^2 then χ_M is finite.

Proof. Assume that $M \leq N$ with $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_n$. Then, we may choose $Z_M \leq Z_N$. Hence, we have $Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(Z_N) \leq Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(Z_M)$ which leads to $\chi_N \leq \chi_M$.

Let ρ be a representation such that $PSL(n,\mathbb{C}) \cdot \rho$ belongs to χ_M with $|M| > 1$ then ρ is irreducible by definition and ρ is centralized by one conjugate of Z_M which is not trivial. It follows that $Z(\rho)$ cannot be trivial and ρ is bad representation. It follows that its conjugacy class belongs to the singular locus of the character variety.

Conversly, assume that ρ is a bad representation, then define M to be the isometry class of the alternate module associated to $Z(\rho)$. Applying theorem 2, $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and since $Z(\rho)$ is not trivial, $|M| > 1$. By theorem 1, it follows that $Z(\rho)$ is conjugate to Z_M. Since ρ is irreducible, we do get that its conjugacy class belongs to χ_M where $|M| > 1$. Hence we get :

$$\chi^i_{Sing}(\Gamma, PSL(n,\mathbb{C})) = \bigcup_{\substack{M \in \mathcal{M}_n \\ |M|>1}} \chi_M.$$

For the last assertion, remark that if M is of order n^2 then Z_M is a full centralizer. By proposition 7, Z_M is irreducible and self-centralizing. In particular $Z_{PSL(n,\mathbb{C})}(Z_M) = Z_M$. It follows that for any representation ρ of Γ such that its conjugacy class belongs to χ_M, the image of ρ must be equal to Z_M (taking $H := \pi_n^{-1}(\rho(\Gamma))$ in proposition 7).

Consider, in Γ, the set X of normal subgroups N such that Γ/N is isomorphic to Z_M. Since Z_M is abelian, X is in bijection with the set X' of subgroups A in Γ^{Ab} such that Γ^{Ab}/A is isomorphic to Z_M. Since Γ is finitely generated, Γ^{Ab} is finitely generated. This implies that the set X' is necessarily finite. For any representation ρ whose conjugacy class belongs to χ_M, we get that $Ker(\rho) \in X$.

If we denote $\chi_{M,N}$ to be the conjugacy classes of ρ whose kernel is equal to N, then $\chi_{M,N}$ is exactly the set of conjugacy classes of representations from Γ/N onto Z_M. Since we are dealing with finite group representations, $\chi_{M,N}$ is necessarily finite. Since χ_M is a union of $\chi_{M,N}$ for $N \in X$ and X is finite, it follows that χ_M is finite as well. □

This leads to a decomposition of the singular locus $\chi^i_{Sing}(\Gamma, PSL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ governed by the graph G_n we defined earlier. Each vertex M of G_n leads to a stratum of the singular locus, and the minimal strata of the singular locus are simply a finite union of points, namely the χ_M where M is a symplectic module of order n^2.

4 Centralizers in quotients of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$

In this section, we gather some generalizations of the results obtained for centralizers in $PSL(n,\mathbb{C})$ to centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $\pi_d(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ where d divides n. Roughly speaking, we shall see that the results are almost the same.

4.1 Abelianity, exponent and order

First, we handle the abelianity of the d-centralizers.

Proposition 9. *Let $n \geq 1$, d be a divisor of n and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, then $Z_d(H)$ is abelian.*

Proof. Let u, v be two elements in $U_d(H)$ (we recall that $U_d(H) := \pi_d^{-1}(Z_d(H))$). Since we have $U_d(H) \leq U_n(H)$ and $Z_n(H)$ abelian (by proposition 1), it follows that $[u,v]$ belongs to $\langle \xi I_n \rangle$. In particular, lemma 2 implies :

$$[u,v]^d = [u^d, v]. \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, since $u \in U_d(H)$, we also have that for all $h \in H$ $[u,h]$ is in $\langle \xi I_n \rangle$ of order dividing d. It follows (by lemma 2 again) that $[u^d, h] = I_n$ for all $h \in H$ i.e. u^d centralizes H. Since H is irreducible, Schur's lemma implies that $u^d \in \langle \xi I_n \rangle$. It follows, from equation 9, that $[u,v]$ is of order dividing d. Since it is central, we get, by definition, that $[u,v] \in \ker(\pi_d)$. As a result $Z_d(H) = \pi_d(U_d(H))$ is abelian. □

The second proposition deals with the exponent of $Z_d(H)$.

Proposition 10. *Let $n \geq 1$, d be a divisor of n and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$, then the exponent of $Z_d(H)$ divides $lcm(n/d, d)$.*

Proof. Let u be an element of $U_d(H)$ and d_0 be the order of $\pi_n(u)$. Let h be in H such that $[h,u]$ is of order d_0. Since $u \in U_d(H)$, it follows that $[h,u]$ must also be of order dividing d. It follows that d_0 divides d. Using proposition 2 :

$$u \text{ is conjugate to } \lambda \begin{pmatrix} I_{\frac{n}{d_0}} & & & \\ & \xi^{\frac{n}{d_0}} I_{\frac{n}{d_0}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi^{\frac{n}{d_0}(d_0-1)} I_{\frac{n}{d_0}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{where } \lambda \in \begin{cases} \langle \xi I_n \rangle & \text{if } d_0 \text{ is odd or } d_0 \text{ is even and } n/d_0 \text{ even,} \\ \sqrt{\xi^{-\frac{n(d_0-1)}{d_0}}} \langle \xi I_n \rangle & \text{if } d_0 \text{ is even and } n/d_0 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let m be a common multiplicator of n/d and d. Then :

$$u^m \text{ is conjugate to } \lambda^m \begin{pmatrix} I_{\frac{n}{d_0}} & & & \\ & \xi^{\frac{n}{d_0}} I_{\frac{n}{d_0}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi^{\frac{n}{d_0}(d_0-1)} I_{\frac{n}{d_0}} \end{pmatrix}^m.$$

Since d_0 divides d which divides m, u^m is conjugate to $\lambda^m I_n$. On one hand, if d_0 is odd, or d_0 is even and n/d_0 even, then $\lambda^m \in \langle \xi^m \rangle \leq \langle \xi^{n/d} \rangle$. In particular, u^m is central of order dividing d, whence $u^m \in \ker(\pi_d)$ and $\pi_d(u)$ is of order dividing m. On the other hand, if d_0 is even and n/d_0 is odd then $\lambda = \sqrt{\xi^{-\frac{n(d_0-1)}{d_0}}} \xi^k$ where $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Remark that 2 divides m since it divides d_0. Hence :

$$\sqrt{\xi^{-\frac{n(d_0-1)}{d_0}}}^m = (\xi^{\frac{n}{d_0}})^{-\frac{m}{2}(d_0-1)} \text{ belongs to } \langle \xi^{n/d} \rangle.$$

Since $(\xi^k)^m$ is also in $\langle \xi^{n/d} \rangle$, λ^m belongs to $\langle \xi^{n/d} \rangle$. Whence u^m is central of order dividing d and $u^m \in \ker(\pi_d)$, so that $\pi_d(u)$ is of order dividing m. In any case, all elements in $Z_d(H)$ are of order dividing m. This is, in particular, true for $m = lcm(n/d, d)$. □

We finally handle the order :

Proposition 11. *Let $n \geq 1$, d be a divisor of n and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ then $Z_d(H)$ is of order dividing n^3/d.*

Proof. We know that $U_d(H) \leq U_n(H)$. Furthermore, $\pi_d(U_d(H)) = Z_d(H)$. Hence :

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Z_d(H)| &= \frac{|U_d(H)|}{d} \\
&\text{divides } \frac{|U_n(H)|}{d} \text{ since } U_d(H) \leq U_n(H) \\
&\text{divides } \frac{n|Z_n(H)|}{d} \text{ since } \pi_n(U_n(H)) = Z_n(H) \\
&\text{divides } \frac{n^3}{d} \text{ by corollary 1.}
\end{aligned}
$$

□

In this proof, we used an inclusion which is, a priori, very weak : $U_d(H) \leq U_n(H)$. The next example shows that the bound of proposition 11 cannot be improved in general (computations are left to the reader).

Example 5. *We study the case $n := 4$ and $d := 2$. There exists an exceptional isomorphism between $SL(4,\mathbb{C})$ and $Spin(6,\mathbb{C})$. This makes of $SL(4,\mathbb{C})$ the universal cover of $SO(6,\mathbb{C})$. In particular, $\pi_2(SL(4,\mathbb{C}))$ is identified to $SO(6,\mathbb{C})$. We denote $\pi_2 : SL(4,\mathbb{C}) \to SO(6,\mathbb{C})$ the induced projection. We denote $\overline{H} \leq SO(6,\mathbb{C})$, the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $SO(6,\mathbb{C})$. Then $\overline{H}$ is a finite group of order 32, which is its own centralizer in $SO(6,\mathbb{C})$. Let $H := \pi_2^{-1}(\overline{H})$ then $|Z_2(H)| = 32 = \frac{4^3}{2} = \frac{n^3}{d}$.*

In order to improve the bound in some particular cases, we prove a lemma :

Lemma 6. *Let $n \geq 1$, d be a divisor of n and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. Recall that we have $U_d(H) \leq U_n(H)$ and $U_n(H)/\langle \xi I_n \rangle$ is equal to $Z_n(H)$ then the quotient group $U_d(H)/\langle \xi I_n \rangle$, included in $Z_n(H)$, is equal to $(Z_n(H))_{(d)}$, the d-torsion of the abelian group $Z_n(H)$.*

Proof. Let u be in $U_d(H)$ then we have that for all $h \in H$ $[u,h]$ is in $\langle \xi I_n \rangle$ of order dividing d. In particular u^d (by lemma 2) commutes with H. It follows that u^d is central by Schur's lemma, whence $u^d \in \langle \xi I_n \rangle$. As a result, $\pi_n(u)$ is of order dividing d. Finally this leads to $U_d(H)/\langle \xi I_n \rangle \leq (Z_n(H))_{(d)}$.

Let $u \in U_n(H)$ be such that $u \in \pi_n^{-1}((Z_n(H))_{(d)})$. It follows that u^d is central. Let $h \in H$, since $u \in U_n(H)$, we know that $[u,h]$ is central. By lemma 2, $[u,h]^d = [u^d,h]$ and since u^d is central, $[u,h]$ is of order dividing d. By definition of $U_d(H)$, this implies that $u \in U_d(H)$. With the first inclusion, we have $U_d(H)/\langle \xi I_n \rangle = (Z_n(H))_{(d)}$. □

This leads to the following proposition :

Proposition 12. *Let $n \geq 1$, d dividing n and H an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. If $gcd(d, n/d) = 1$ then the order of $Z_d(H)$ divides nd.*

Proof. The order of $Z_n(H)$ divides n^2 by corollary 1. We decompose n as a product of prime numbers $n = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r}$. Since $gcd(d, n/d) = 1$ and the order of the p_i's does not matter, we may as well as assume that $d = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_s^{a_s}$ and $n/d = p_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \dots p_r^{a_r}$.

Since $Z_n(H)$ is abelian by proposition 1 of order dividing n^2 (by corollary 1), there exists, for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ a unique subgroup $S_i \leq Z_n(H)$ whose order is a power of p_i such that : $Z_n(H) = S_1 \times \dots \times S_r$. Clearly, $(Z_n(H))_{(d)} = S_1 \times \dots \times S_s$ and since $|Z_n(H)|$ divides n^2, we deduce from this, that $|(Z_n(H))_{(d)}|$ divides d^2. Lemma 6 states that $U_d(H) = \pi_n^{-1}((Z_n(H))_{(d)})$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_d(H)| &= |\pi_d(U_d(H))| = |U_d(H)|/d \\ &= |\pi_n^{-1}((Z_n(H))_{(d)})| = n|(Z_n(H))_{(d)}|/d \end{aligned}$$

Since $|(Z_n(H))_{(d)}|$ divides d^2, it follows that $|Z_d(H)|$ divides nd. □

We gather the results of this subsection in one single theorem :

Theorem 4. *Let $n \geq 1$, d be a divisor of n and H be an irreducible subgroup of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ then $Z_d(H)$ is abelian of exponent dividing $lcm(n/d, d)$ and of order dividing n^3/d. If $gcd(n/d, d) = 1$ then $Z_d(H)$ is of order dividing nd.*

In the next subsection, we shall study the conjugacy classes of d-centralizer of irreducible subgroups in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and briefly apply this to the study of the corresponding character variety.

4.2 Singularities in the associated character variety

First, we remark that we can always project $Z_d(H)$ onto a subgroup $A_d(H)$ of $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Since it is a subgroup of $Z_n(H)$ (by lemma 6) it follows, by corollary 3, that this group $A_d(H)$ is the centralizer of an irreducible subgroup of $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$. In particular we can associate to $A_d(H)$ a structure of alternate module. Denote $M_d(H) := (A_d(H), \phi_{H,d})$ the alternate module that we get with this construction. Now we easily generalize theorem 1.

Proposition 13. *Let $n \geq 1$, d be a divisor of n and H_1, H_2 be two irreducible subgroups of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ then $Z_d(H_1)$ and $Z_d(H_2)$ are conjugate if and only if $M_d(H_1)$ and $M_d(H_2)$ are isometric.*

Proof. First remark that $Z_d(H_1)$ is conjugate to $Z_d(H_2)$ if and only if their respective projections $A_d(H_1)$ and $A_d(H_2)$ are conjugate in $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Since $A_d(H_1)$ and $A_d(H_2)$ are centralizer of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $A_d(H_1)$ and $A_d(H_2)$ are conjugate if and only if $M_d(H_1)$ and $M_d(H_2)$ are isometric applying theorem 1. □

Likewise we may generalize theorem 2.

Proposition 14. *Let $n \geq 1$, d be a divisor of n and (A, ϕ) be an alternate module then there exists an irreducible subgroup H in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that (A, ϕ) is isometric to $M_d(H)$ if and only if $n_{A,\phi}$ (the order of Lagrangians in (A, ϕ)) divides n and A is of exponent dividing d.*

Proof. Let H be an irreducible subgroup in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ then, by definition $A_d(H) = \pi_n(U_d(H))$ is of exponent dividing d by lemma 6. Whence $M_d(H)$ is an alternate module of d-torsion. Furthermore $M_d(H)$ is isometrically embedded in $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$. Let L be Lagrangian of $M_d(H)$. It follows that L is isotropic in $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$, in particular, $L \leq L_0$ where L_0 is a Lagrangian in $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$. It follows that $n_{M_d(H)}$ divides $n_{Z_n(H),\phi_H}$ which divides n (by theorem 2).

Conversly, let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module of exponent dividing d and such that $n_{A,\phi}$ divides n. By theorem 2, there exists an irreducible subgroup H in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $(Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ is isometric to (A, ϕ). Since $Z_n(H)$ is of exponent dividing d, it follows that $\pi_n(U_d(H)) = Z_n(H)$ by lemma 6. In particular $A_d(H) = Z_n(H)$ and hence $M_d(H) = (Z_n(H), \phi_H)$ by definition. Hence $M_d(H)$ is isometric to (A, ϕ). □

Before stating a straightforward corollary we recall a few facts about the character variety. Let Γ be a Fuchsian group and G be a semi-simple complex Lie group. It is known that in such case, $\chi^i(\Gamma, G)$ admits a structure of orbifold (see [Sik12]). Furthermore the local isotropy group of $G \cdot \rho$ is, up to conjugation, $Z_G(\rho)/Z(G)$.

Corollary 7. *Let $n \geq 1$, d be a divisor of n and ρ be an irreducible representation of Γ into $G := \pi_d(SL(n, \mathbb{C}))$. Then the isotropy group of $G \cdot \rho$ in the orbifold $\chi^i(\Gamma, G)$ is an abelian group A of exponent dividing d such that there exists an abelian group B of order n with A included in $B \times B$.*

Proof. Let $H := \pi_d^{-1}(\rho(\Gamma))$. Then H is irreducible and $Z_G(\rho) = Z_d(H)$ by definition. By proposition 14, $M_d(H)$ is an alternate module of exponent dividing d and with its Lagrangians of order dividing n. It follows that $A_d(H) = Z_n(H)$, the underlying group of $M_d(H)$, is of exponent dividing d. By corollary 5, there exists an abelian group B of order n such that $A_d(H) = Z_n(H)$ is included in $B \times B$. The result follows since $Z_G(\rho)/Z(G) = A_d(H)$. □

In conclusion of this short section, we can say that studying the case of $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is equivalent to studying the case of quotients of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ in general.

References

[Bor91] Armand Borel. *Linear algebraic groups.* Springer, 1991.

[FL12] Carlos Florentino and Sean Lawton. Singularities of free group character varieties. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 260(1):149–179, 2012.

[FLR15] Carlos Florentino, Sean Lawton and Daniel Ramras. Homotopy Groups of Free Group Character Varieties. arXiv:1412.0272v2 [math.AT], 2015. to appear in *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze*.

[Gue16-1] Clément Guérin. Bad irreducible subgroups and singular locus for character varieties in $PSL(p, \mathbb{C})$. arXiv:1602.04130 [math.GR], 2016.

[Gue16-2] Clément Guérin. Alternate modules are subsymplectic. arXiv:1604.07227 [math.GR], 2016.

[Ser77] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Linear representations of finite groups*. Graduate texts in mathematics, Springer, 1977.

[Sik12] Adam S. Sikora. Character varieties of abelian groups. *Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(10):5173–5208, 2012.

[TW15] J.-P. Tignol, A. Wadsworth. Value Functions on Simple Algebras, and Associated Graded Rings. *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, 2015.

[Wal64] C.T.C. Wall. Quadratic forms on finite groups, and related topics. *Topology*, Vol. 2:281-298, 1964.